United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit
No. 13-3279
United States of America
Plaintiff - Appellee
V.
Mark Mink
Defendant - Appellant
Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas - Ft. Smith
Submitted: June 6, 2014 Filed: June 6, 2014 [Unpublished[
Before BYE, COLLOTON, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.
Mark Howard Mink directly appeals the sentence imposed by the district court
after he pled guilty to coercing and enticing a minor, in violation of 18 U.S.C

¹The Honorable P.K. Holmes, III, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas.

§ 2422(b). On appeal, his counsel has moved to withdraw and filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel argues that the sentence was substantively unreasonable. Mink has filed a pro se supplemental submission, in which he claims counsel was ineffective. Having jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, this court affirms.

After careful review, this court concludes that Mink's sentence was not substantively unreasonable, as the 120-month sentence imposed was the statutory minimum sentence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b) (whoever commits offense shall be imprisoned not less than 10 years or for life); *United States v. Woods*, 717 F.3d 654, 659 (8th Cir. 2013) (rejecting argument that sentence was substantively unreasonable, as defendant received statutory minimum sentence); *United States v. Watts*, 553 F.3d 603, 604 (8th Cir. 2009) (per curiam) (district court lacks authority to reduce sentence below statutory minimum). This court declines to consider Mink's ineffective-assistance claim in this direct criminal appeal. *See Woods*, 717 F.3d at 657.

The judgment is affirmed.	Counsel's motion	to withdraw is	granted.
---------------------------	------------------	----------------	----------

-2-